Docket No. 50-320

Mr. F. R. Standerfer
Vice President/Cirector
Three Mile Island Unit 2
GPU Nuclear Corporation
Fost Office Box 480
Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File OGC-WF
NRC & Local PDRs EJordan
JCraig JPartlow
SVarga ACRS(10)
BBoger GPA/PA
SNorris Gray File

Cear Mr. Standerfer:

SUBJECT: ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ~ EXEMPTIONS FROM REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR PART 55.59 "OPERATORS' LICENSES, REQUALIFICATION" (TAC 67035)

Enclosed is the Environmental Assessment which relates to your request dated December 28, 1987, for exemptions from certain requirements of 10 CFR 55.59 for Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 2.

This assessment is being forwarded to the Office of the Federal Register for publication.

Sincerely,

original signed by

Michael T. Masnik, Senior Project Manager Project Directorate I-4 Division of Reactor Projects I/II Office of Muclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Environmental Assessment

cc w/enclosure: See next page

DATE : 8/11/88 : 81/2/88 : 87/5/88 : 81/2/88

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

SFO!

Mr. F. R. Standerfer GPU Nuclear Corporation

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Dr. Judith H. Johnsrud Environmental Coalition on Nuclear Power 433 Crlando Avenue State College, PA 16801

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire Shaw, Pittman, Potts, and Trowbridge 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Sally S. Klein, Chairperson Dauphin County Board of Commissioners Dauphin County Courthouse Front and Farket Streets Harrisburg, PA 17101

Thomas M. Gerusky, Director Bureau of Radiation Frotection Department of Environmental Rescurces P. O. Box 2063 Harrisburg, FA 17120

Ad Crable Lancaster New Era & West King Street Lancaster, FA 17601

U.S. Department of Energy P. O. Rox 88 Middletown, PA 17057

David J. McGoff
Office of LWR Safety and Technology
NE-23
U.S. Department of Energy
Washington, DC 20545

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station. Unit No. 2

Frank Lynch, Editorial The Patriot 812 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17105

Robert B. Borsum Babcock & Wilcox Nuclear Power Division Suite 525 1700 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Marvin I. Lewis 7801 Roosevelt Blvd. #62 Philadelphia, PA 19152

Jane Lee 123 Valley Road Etters, FA 17319

Walter W. Cohen, Consumer Advocate Department of Justice Strawberry Square, 14th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17127

Mr. Edwin Kinter Executive Vice President GPU Nuclear Corporation 100 Interpace Parkway Parsippany, NJ 07054

U.S. Environmental Prot. Agency Region III Office Attn: EIS Coordinator Curtis Building (Sixth Floor) 6th and Walnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106 Mr. F. R. Standerfer GPU Nuclear Corporation

cc:

T. F. Demmitt GPU Nuclear Corporation

W. E. Potts GPU Nuclear Corporation

J. J. Ryrne GPU Nuclear Corporation Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit No. 2

R. E. Rogan GPU Nuclear Corporation

S. Levin
GPU Nuclear Corporation

A. W. Miller GPU Nuclear Corporation

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION GPU NUCLEAR CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 50-320

# OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 55.59, Operators' Licenses, Requalification relative to Facility Operating License No. OPR-73, issued to GPU Nuclear Corporation (the licensee), for the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station Unit 2 (TMI-2), located in Londonderry Township, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. By Order for Modification of License, dated July 20, 1979, the licensee's authority to operate the facility was suspended and the licensee's authority was limited to maintenance of the facility in the present shutdown cooling mode (44 FR 45271). Ry further Order of the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, dated February 11, 1980, a new set of formal license requirements was imposed to reflect the post-accident condition of the facility and to assure the continued maintenance of the current safe, stable, long-term cooling condition of the facility (45 FR 11292). The license provides, among other things, that it is subject to all rules, regulations and Orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

#### Identification of Proposed Action:

The actions being considered by the Commission are exemptions from requirements of 10 CFR 55.59 pertaining to the Licensed Operator Requalification Program. The licensee has requested, in a letter dated December 28, 1987, that the requirements of 10 CFR 55.59(c)(2), subsections (iv) and (v) and 10 CFR 55.59(c)(3)(i), items (A) through (AA) be eliminated. Specifically, 10 CFR 55.59(c)(2), subsections (iv) and (v) require that the licensee's operators' requalification program include lectures covering plant protection systems and engineered safety systems, respectively. Items (A) through (AA) of 10 CFR 55.59(c)(3)(i) require that the operators' requalification program include, as part of on-the-job training, control manipulations for an identified list of conventional reactor evolutions.

### The Need for the Proposed Action:

IMI-2 is currently in a post-accident, cold shutdown, long-term recovery mode, with sufficient decay heat removal assured by direct heat loss from the reactor coolant system (RCS) to the reactor building atmosphere. The present unconventional configuration of the TMI-? plant does not allow the conventional evolutions normal to an operating facility. As such, the staff does not consider the evolutions listed in 10 CFR 55.59(c)(3)(i) items (A) through (AA) applicable for TMI-2. Since conventional events are not applicable for TMI-2, conventional plant protection systems and engineered safety systems are not required by TMI-2 and are in fact disabled.

Therefore, training lectures on conventional plant protection systems and engineered safety systems would be irrelevant to TMI-2. Similarly, conventional

manipulations, such as listed in 10 CFR 55.59(c)(3)(i) items (A) through (AA) would not be applicable to the present TMI-2 configuration. As such, exercises attempting these manipulations would not be relevant to the TMI-2 operating staff.

### Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action:

The staff has evaluated the proposed exemptions and concludes that in light of the current and future condition of the facility described above, there are no significant radiological or nonradiological impacts to the environment as a result of this action. The exemptions remove the specific training requirements which are no longer applicable to the TMI-2 configuration.

#### Alternative Use of Resources:

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for TM1-2, dated March 1981.

# Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request. No other agencies or persons were consulted.

# FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption. Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that this action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action see the letter from GPUN dated December 28, 1987 which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and

the State Library of Pennsylvania, Government Publications Section, Education Building, Commonwealth and Walnut Streets, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17126.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of August 1988.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz, Director Project Directorate 1-4

Division of Reactor Projects I/II